| Volume-2 | Issue-3 | May-June-2020 |

DOI: 10.36346/sarjbab.2020.v02i03.005

#### **Original Research Article**

# **Organochlorine Pesticides Quantification in Smoke-Dried Fish Samples and Extrapolative Toxicity Effect in Rabbit Samples**

Nuntah, JN<sup>1\*</sup>, Abolagba OJ<sup>1</sup>, Igene JO<sup>2</sup>, Usifoh SF<sup>3</sup>, Omoti CE<sup>4</sup> and Usifoh CO<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Aquaculture and Fisheries Management, <sup>2</sup>Department of Food Science Technology, <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacy Practice, <sup>4</sup>Department of Haematology, <sup>5</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria

\*Corresponding Author Nuntah Joseph Nkem

Article History Received: 18.06.2020

Accepted: 25.06.2020 Published: 30.06.2020

Abstract: Smoke-dried fish mongers and marketers have adopted the use of organochlorines (OCPs) in the preservation of smoke-dried fish especially during storage. Although the OCPs laden fishes appear free from insect infestation, the retained residual concentrations in the fish flesh upon consumption possibly causes harm since OCPs can absorb and bio-accumulate in the blood stream and fatty tissues. This study therefore seek to quantify the residual concentrations of OCPs (DDT, endosulfan and lindane) in smoke-dried fish samples and extrapolate their toxicity effects in rabbit samples. The smoke-dried fishes were purchased from vendors, extracted and analyzed using the GCMS for OCPs (DDT, endosulfan and lindane). Thirty rabbit samples (1000 g - 1200 g) were divided into four groups (3 rabbits [control] and 9 rabbits each for DDT, endosulfan and lindane treatment groups) and three (3) concentration levels (LD<sub>50</sub>;  $\frac{1}{2}$  of LD<sub>50</sub> [LD<sub>25</sub>] and  $\frac{1}{4}$  of LD<sub>50</sub> [LD<sub>12,5</sub>]) were determined based on the rabbit's body weight and established OCPs LD<sub>50</sub> values, then subcutaneously administered to the rabbits as a single dose. The OCPs toxicity effects on exposed rabbits were determined by analyzing the blood parameters (heamatology and serum biochemistry assay) at exposure periods (1, 3 and 7 days). The OCPs exposed rabbits exhibited varied clinical signs (itching, restlessness, jerky movements, skin scratching, salivation, increased urination and nervous signs) and their blood parameters count were significantly different (P<0.05) compared to the control (non-OCPs treated) rabbits. OCPs toxicity constitute real threat to public health considering their severe detrimental effects on the blood parameters of exposed rabbits, thus compromising their immune system and possibly leading to varied health complications. Therefore, public sensitization especially to fish mongers and marketers on the dangers of OCPs and the need to shun their use in smoke-dried fish preservation should be intensified and sustained.

Keywords: Organochlorine, Quantification, Smoke-dried, Toxicity, Rabbit.

## INTRODUCTION

Smoke-dried fish are subjected to losses due to spoilage when not adequately preserved or stored and such losses arise from the combined effect of insects and other biological agents that flourish under tropical hot and humid conditions [1]. Some fish mongers and marketers have adopted the use of pesticides to preserve smoke-dried fish during the channels of packaging, storage and distribution in order to eliminate and halt insect infestation, thereby limiting economic losses on the fish mongers [2-4]. The class organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) have been the most detected pesticides in preserved smoke-dried fish due to their cheap cost and efficacy to combat insect infestations [5, 6]. OCPs are classified Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) because they remain in the environment for decades following their use and can travel long distances from source through atmospheric or aqueous transport, then accumulate in fatty tissues of exposed organisms with varieties of toxic endpoints [7]. Studies have shown that OCPs exposures have detrimental health implications on the environment, wildlife and human [8] and the OCPs (DDT, endosulfan and lindane) were the most detected pesticides used in smoke-dried fish samples preservation [3]. Although the OCPs laden fishes appear free from insect infestation there are however, retained residual concentrations in the fish flesh and tissues that can possibly cause harm to animals and human health upon consumption, since OCPs are known to absorb and bio-accumulate in the

Copyright @ 2020: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

blood stream and fatty tissues of animals and humans and their prolonged exposures exerts systemic, immunologic, teratogenic, and carcinogenic effects [9]. OCPs have resulted in a wide range of adverse effects evident in worldwide deaths and chronic diseases such as reproductive failure, immune system malfunction, endocrine disruptions, hypertension, cardiovascular disorders and cancers [10, 11]. This study therefore seek to quantify the residual concentrations of OCPs (DDT, endosulfan and lindane) in smoke-dried fish samples and extrapolate their toxicity effects in exposed rabbit samples.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Collection and extraction of the smoke-dried fish samples

Smoke-dried fish samples were randomly purchased from vendors and taken to University of Benin Pesticide Research Laboratory (UBPRL). The fish samples were pulverized and 20 g of each ground sample placed in a thimble and extracted using dichloromethane solvent in a Soxhlet apparatus. The extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, concentrated to about 2 ml under pure stream nitrogen at 40°C, cleaned and reconstituted to 1 ml in dichloromethane [12]. The GC-MS-QP 2010 SE Shimadzu, (JAPAN), equipped with an electron capture detector was used to analyze and quantify the OCPs (DDT, endosulfan and lindane) in the smoke-dried fish samples.

#### **Animal Experimental Study**

Forty (40) male rabbits weighing about 1kg each were purchased and transported to the Rabbit Unit, University of Benin Teaching and Research Farm. The rabbits were housed individually in raised hutches, provided prophylaxis treatment, fed ad-libitum and allowed to acclimatize for two weeks. Thirty (30) of the apparently healthy rabbits (1000 g – 1200 g) were divided into four groups (3 rabbit samples [control]; 9 rabbit samples each for DDT, endosulfan and lindane groups). Based on OCPs (DDT, endosulfan and lindane) established  $LD_{50}$  values and body weight of the rabbit samples, 3 treatment levels ( $LD_{50}$ ; <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> of  $LD_{50}$  [ $LD_{25}$ ] and <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of  $LD_{50}$  [ $LD_{12.5}$ ]) were determined and administered subcutaneously to the rabbit as a single dose and the OCPs toxicity effect on the exposed rabbit blood parameters (heamatology and serum biochemical) were determined at 1, 3 and 7 days exposure period.

#### **Collection and Analysis of the Rabbit Blood samples**

Blood samples were collected from the medial peripheral vein of rabbit ear by venepuncture and slowly expressed into Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetic Acid (EDTA), anticoagulant tubes for haematological analysis, floride oxalate tubes for glucose estimation and plain tubes for other serum biochemical assays [13]. The automated three parts differential full blood count machine (Mythic 22 CT) was used for analysis of the haematological parameters (White blood cells count [WBC], Red blood cells count [RBC], Haemoglobin concentration [HC], Packed cell volume [PCV], Differential white blood cell count, Red blood cell indices, Platelets count [PC], Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin [MCH], Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration [MCHC], Mean Corpuscular Volume [MCV], Mean Platelet Volume [MPV], Red cell Distribution Width [RDW] and Platelet Distribution Width [PDW]). The blood serum assays (renal function, liver function, protein, fasting blood sugar, and uric acid test) were analyzed using the PRIETEST EASYLAB biochemical analyzer.

### **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Detected OCPs in the smoke-dried fish samples were laid in a completely randomized design (CRD) and replicated three (3) times in a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The OCPs toxicity effects on the exposed rabbit blood parameters were laid out in 3x3 factorial arrangement in a completely randomized design (CRD), replicated three times in a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The arising means were separated by Duncan multiple range test, using Genstat 12<sup>th</sup> edition statistical package [14].

### RESULTS

#### Physical observations of the OCPs Exposed Rabbits

The exposed rabbit samples subcutaneously injected OCPs (DDT, lindane and endosulfan) exhibited varied clinical signs such as itching, restlessness, jerky movements, skin scratching, salivation, increased urination and nervous signs (ataxia, staggering gait and dizziness). The clinical signs were dose dependent and the effects persisted between 10 to 30 minutes. Endosulfan exposed rabbits exhibited severe signs of nervous disorders than the DDT and lindane exposed rabbit samples.

#### Haematological Samples

Tables 1 - 9 show OCPs toxicity effects on exposed rabbit samples haematology based on injected dose, exposure periods and their interactions (injected dose and exposure periods). The OCPs (DDT, lindane and endosulfan) toxicity resulted in significant deviations (P<0.05) in the haematological range indices of exposed rabbit samples compared to non-OCPs exposed rabbit samples (control). The OCPs exposed rabbits counts reduced significantly

(P<0.05) in white blood cell (2.17 - 4.40), red blood cell (4.71 - 4.94), haemoglobin (10.63 - 11.83), haematocrit (29.40 - 31.70), mean corpuscular haemoglobin (20.14 - 23.93), platelet counts (115.7 - 269.0), plateletcrit (0.05 - 0.17), mean platelet volume (3.70 - 5.12) and platelet distribution width (3.17 - 5.70). While the monocytes (0.43 - 0.56), granulocytes (0.37 - 1.77), mean corpuscular volume (67.20 - 74.33) and mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (35.50 - 40.11) increased significantly (P<0.05) in counts. The OCPs exposed rabbit samples decreased in haematological counts with increase in the exposure periods (1, 3 and 7 days) but these were not significantly different (P>0.05). The interactions between the OCPs (DDT, lindane and endosulfan) and exposure periods (1, 3 and 7 days) resulted in significant decrease (P<0.05) in heamatological parameters with increase in injected doses and exposure time.

Table-1: Effect of DDT on Rabbit Haematology									
Parameters		Injected vo	olume (ml)		± SEM				
	0	0.38	0.75	1.50					
White blood cell ( $x10^3\mu l$ )	6.10 <sup>a</sup>	$4.40^{b}$	3.13 <sup>c</sup>	2.17 <sup>d</sup>	0.33				
Lymphocytes (x10 <sup>3</sup> µl)	5.10 <sup>a</sup>	$1.80^{b}$	1.53 <sup>b</sup>	1.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.33				
Monocytes (x10 <sup>3</sup> µl)	$0.40^{b}$	0.43 <sup>a</sup>	$0.47^{a}$	0.49 <sup>a</sup>	0.06				
Gr (x $10^3$ µl)	0.60 <sup>c</sup>	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	1.30 <sup>ab</sup>	1.77 <sup>a</sup>	0.28				
Lymphocytes (%)	83.40 <sup>a</sup>	70.50 <sup>a</sup>	44.50 <sup>b</sup>	35.07 <sup>b</sup>	9.16				
Monocytes (%)	7.10 <sup>c</sup>	8.60 <sup>c</sup>	12.90 <sup>b</sup>	18.67 <sup>a</sup>	1.12				
Gr (%)	9.50 <sup>c</sup>	23.77 <sup>b</sup>	43.70 <sup>a</sup>	49.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.33				
Red blood cell (x10 <sup>-6</sup> $\mu$ l)	6.14 <sup>a</sup>	4.94 <sup>b</sup>	4.81 <sup>b</sup>	4.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.17				
Heamoglobin (g/dL)	14.40 <sup>a</sup>	11.83 <sup>b</sup>	11.40 <sup>b</sup>	10.63 <sup>c</sup>	0.27				
HCT (%)	40.70 <sup>a</sup>	31.70 <sup>b</sup>	30.53 <sup>b</sup>	29.40 <sup>b</sup>	1.35				
Mean corpuscular volume (fl)	66.20 <sup>b</sup>	67.56 <sup>a</sup>	68.97 <sup>a</sup>	74.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.83				
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (pg)	23.40 <sup>a</sup>	23.93 <sup>a</sup>	23.73 <sup>a</sup>	23.83 <sup>a</sup>	1.01				
Mean corpuscular heamoglobin concentration (g/dl)	35.30 <sup>b</sup>	35.50 <sup>b</sup>	35.73 <sup>b</sup>	40.11 <sup>a</sup>	1.39				
RDW (%)	18.20 <sup>a</sup>	17.98 <sup>b</sup>	17.87 <sup>b</sup>	17.77 <sup>b</sup>	0.12				
Platelet count $(x10^3\mu)$	358.0 <sup>a</sup>	225.3 <sup>b</sup>	125.3 <sup>c</sup>	115.7 <sup>d</sup>	12.8				
PCT (%)	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.07 <sup>c</sup>	0.07 <sup>c</sup>	0.01				
MPV (fl)	5.20 <sup>c</sup>	4.83 <sup>b</sup>	4.87 <sup>b</sup>	$4.47^{a}$	0.11				
PDW (fl)	6.60 <sup>a</sup>	5.70 <sup>a</sup>	5.69 <sup>a</sup>	5.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.07				

 $^{abc}$  = Means in a row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

Table-2: Effect of DDT on the E	xposure Periods
---------------------------------	-----------------

Parameters	Ex	posure Ti	me	±SEM
	1 day	3 days	7 days	
White blood cell ( $x10^3\mu l$ )	4.04 <sup>a</sup>	3.94 <sup>a</sup>	3.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.29
Lymphocytes (x10 <sup>3</sup> µl)	2.60 <sup>a</sup>	$2.48^{a}$	2.37 <sup>a</sup>	0.28
Monocytes $(x10^3 \mu l)$	0.51 <sup>a</sup>	$0.44^{a}$	0.39 <sup>a</sup>	0.06
Gr (x $10^3$ µl)	1.21 <sup>a</sup>	$1.10^{a}$	0.99 <sup>a</sup>	0.24
Lymphocytes (%)	8.96 <sup>a</sup>	58.37 <sup>a</sup>	57.78 <sup>a</sup>	7.93
Monocytes (%)	11.99 <sup>a</sup>	11.82 <sup>a</sup>	11.64 <sup>a</sup>	0.97
Gr (%)	31.73 <sup>a</sup>	31.49 <sup>a</sup>	31.25 <sup>a</sup>	6.35
Red blood cell (x10 <sup>-6</sup> $\mu$ l)	5.20 <sup>a</sup>	5.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.15
Heamoglobin (g/dL)	12.23 <sup>a</sup>	12.06 <sup>a</sup>	11.83 <sup>a</sup>	0.23
HCT (%)	33.34 <sup>a</sup>	33.07a	32.84 <sup>a</sup>	1.17
Mean corpuscular volume (fl)	67.97 <sup>a</sup>	68.52 <sup>a</sup>	68.66 <sup>a</sup>	1.59
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (pg)	23.45 <sup>a</sup>	23.27 <sup>a</sup>	23.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.87
Mean corpuscular heamoglobin concentration (g/dl)	36.45 <sup>a</sup>	36.15 <sup>a</sup>	35.88 <sup>a</sup>	1.21
RDW (%)	18.32 <sup>a</sup>	$18.17^{a}$	18.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.10
Platelet count( $x10^3\mu$ )	231.0 <sup>a</sup>	212.5 <sup>b</sup>	195.0 <sup>c</sup>	1.10
PCT (%)	0.13 <sup>a</sup>	0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.10 <sup>c</sup>	0.001
MPV (fl)	6.18 <sup>a</sup>	5.83 <sup>b</sup>	5.53 <sup>c</sup>	0.09
PDW (fl)	6.97 <sup>a</sup>	6.08 <sup>b</sup>	5.65 <sup>c</sup>	0.06

= Means in a row with similar superscripts are not significantly different (P < 0.05)

Table-5. Effect of DD1 on interaction (injected dose x exposure periods)										
Concentration	Exposure					Paramet	ers			
	Time									
		WBC	LYM	MON	GR	LYM	MON	GR	RBC	HEA
						(%)	(%)			
М	0 hour	6.10 <sup>a</sup>	5.30 <sup>a</sup>	$0.40^{cd}$	$0.60^{a}$	83.40 <sup>a</sup>	7.10 <sup>b</sup>	9.50 <sup>a</sup>	$6.140^{a}$	$14.40^{a}$
M1	1 day	4.53 <sup>abc</sup>	1.97 <sup>b</sup>	$0.90^{a}$	$2.00^{a}$	72.50 <sup>a</sup>	18.93 <sup>a</sup>	49.20 <sup>a</sup>	4.963 <sup>b</sup>	12.27 <sup>b</sup>
	3 days	4.37 <sup>abc</sup>	1.80 <sup>b</sup>	$0.77^{ab}$	1.77 <sup>a</sup>	$70.50^{a}$	18.67 <sup>a</sup>	49.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.943 <sup>b</sup>	11.83 <sup>bc</sup>
	7 days	4.30 <sup>bc</sup>	1.63 <sup>b</sup>	$0.70^{\mathrm{abc}}$	1.53 <sup>a</sup>	$68.50^{a}$	$18.40^{a}$	$48.80^{a}$	4.923 <sup>b</sup>	11.40 <sup>bc</sup>
M2	1 day	3.23 <sup>cd</sup>	$1.70^{b}$	$0.57^{abc}$	$1.40^{a}$	44.67 <sup>a</sup>	13.03 <sup>ab</sup>	44.13 <sup>a</sup>	$4.970^{b}$	11.47 <sup>bc</sup>
	3 days	3.13 <sup>cd</sup>	1.53 <sup>b</sup>	$0.47^{bcd}$	1.30 <sup>a</sup>	44.50 <sup>a</sup>	12.90 <sup>ab</sup>	43.70 <sup>a</sup>	4.810 <sup>b</sup>	11.37 <sup>bc</sup>
	7 days	3.03 <sup>cd</sup>	1.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.37 <sup>cd</sup>	1.20 <sup>a</sup>	44.33 <sup>a</sup>	12.77 <sup>ab</sup>	43.27 <sup>a</sup>	$4.650^{b}$	11.07 <sup>bc</sup>
M3	1 day	2.30 <sup>d</sup>	1.43 <sup>b</sup>	0.17 <sup>d</sup>	0.83 <sup>a</sup>	35.27 <sup>a</sup>	$8.90^{b}$	24.10 <sup>a</sup>	4.743 <sup>b</sup>	$10.80^{bc}$
	3 days	2.17 <sup>d</sup>	1.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 <sup>d</sup>	0.73 <sup>a</sup>	35.07 <sup>a</sup>	$8.60^{b}$	23.77 <sup>a</sup>	4.713 <sup>b</sup>	10.63 <sup>c</sup>
	7 days	2.03 <sup>d</sup>	1.17 <sup>b</sup>	0.10 <sup>d</sup>	0.63 <sup>a</sup>	34.87 <sup>a</sup>	8.30 <sup>b</sup>	23.43 <sup>a</sup>	4.683 <sup>b</sup>	$10.47^{c}$
$\pm$ SEM		0.57	0.57	0.11	0.49	15.86	1.943	12.70	0.2955	0.472

## Table-3: Effect of DDT on Interaction (injected dose x exposure periods)

abcd = Means in a column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

Table-3: Continued

Concentration	Exposure	Parameters								
	Time									
		НСТ	MCV	MCH	MCHC	RDW	PC	РСТ	MPV	PDW
М	0 hour	$40.70^{a}$	66.20 <sup>c</sup>	23.40 <sup>a</sup>	35.30 <sup>a</sup>	18.20 <sup>abc</sup>	385.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	5.20 <sup>e</sup>	6.60 <sup>c</sup>
M1	1 day	32.17 <sup>b</sup>	67.33 <sup>b</sup>	25.13 <sup>a</sup>	40.60 <sup>a</sup>	18.83 <sup>a</sup>	124.0 <sup>d</sup>	$0.08^{d}$	6.10 <sup>bc</sup>	7.80 <sup>a</sup>
	3 days	31.70 <sup>b</sup>	67.57 <sup>b</sup>	24.93 <sup>a</sup>	40.07 <sup>a</sup>	$18.57^{ab}$	117.0 <sup>e</sup>	0.06 <sup>e</sup>	$6.00^{bcd}$	6.50 <sup>c</sup>
	7 days	31.23 <sup>b</sup>	67.77 <sup>b</sup>	24.73 <sup>a</sup>	39.67 <sup>a</sup>	18.30 <sup>abc</sup>	$106.0^{f}$	0.06 <sup>e</sup>	$5.50^{de}$	5.80 <sup>d</sup>
M2	1 day	30.83 <sup>b</sup>	68.97 <sup>b</sup>	24.17 <sup>a</sup>	35.83 <sup>a</sup>	18.23 <sup>abc</sup>	226.0 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	6.90 <sup>a</sup>	7.17 <sup>b</sup>
	3 days	30.47 <sup>b</sup>	68.17 <sup>b</sup>	23.93 <sup>a</sup>	35.50 <sup>a</sup>	18.03 <sup>bc</sup>	226.0 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	$6.40^{ab}$	6.60 <sup>c</sup>
	7 days	30.30 <sup>b</sup>	68.77 <sup>b</sup>	23.70 <sup>a</sup>	35.17 <sup>a</sup>	17.67 <sup>c</sup>	224.0 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	6.10 <sup>bc</sup>	6.30 <sup>c</sup>
M3	1 day	29.67 <sup>b</sup>	74.17 <sup>a</sup>	21.10 <sup>a</sup>	34.07 <sup>a</sup>	18.03 <sup>bc</sup>	189.0 <sup>c</sup>	0.11 <sup>c</sup>	$6.50^{ab}$	6.30 <sup>c</sup>
	3 days	29.40 <sup>b</sup>	74.33 <sup>a</sup>	20.83 <sup>a</sup>	33.73 <sup>a</sup>	17.87 <sup>c</sup>	122.0 <sup>de</sup>	$0.08^{d}$	5.70 <sup>cde</sup>	4.60 <sup>e</sup>
	7 days	29.13 <sup>b</sup>	$74.50^{a}$	$20.57^{a}$	$33.40^{a}$	17.70 <sup>c</sup>	65.0 <sup>g</sup>	0.03 <sup>f</sup>	5.30 <sup>e</sup>	3.90 <sup>f</sup>
± SEM		2.34	1.17	1.75	2.42	0.20	2.22	0.002	0.18	0.12

abcdefg = Means in a column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

#### Table-4: Effect of Lindane on Rabbit Haematology

Parameters		Injected v	olume (ml)		$\pm$ SEM
	0	0.38	0.75	1.5	
White blood cell( $x10^3\mu l$ )	6.10 <sup>a</sup>	4.07 <sup>b</sup>	2.63 <sup>bc</sup>	2.51 <sup>c</sup>	0.51
Lymphocytes $(x10^3 \mu l)$	5.30 <sup>a</sup>	1.71 <sup>b</sup>	2.08 <sup>b</sup>	2.62 <sup>b</sup>	0.32
Monocytes (x10 <sup>3</sup> µl)	$0.40^{\circ}$	0.43 <sup>b</sup>	$0.48^{a}$	0.49 <sup>a</sup>	0.06
$Gr(x10^3\mu l)$	$0.60^{b}$	0.61 <sup>b</sup>	$0.62^{b}$	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.06
Lymphocytes (%)	83.40 <sup>a</sup>	82.73 <sup>a</sup>	75.43 <sup>b</sup>	$70.40^{b}$	2.52
Monocytes (%)	7.10 <sup>c</sup>	7.37 <sup>c</sup>	10.03 <sup>b</sup>	11.97 <sup>a</sup>	0.83
Gr (%)	9.50 <sup>c</sup>	12.18 <sup>b</sup>	14.48 <sup>b</sup>	18.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.33
Red blood cell (x10 <sup>-6</sup> $\mu$ l)	6.14 <sup>a</sup>	5.82 <sup>a</sup>	5.57 <sup>b</sup>	5.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.19
Heamoglobin (g/dL)	$14.40^{a}$	13.40 <sup>a</sup>	13.36 <sup>a</sup>	13.30 <sup>a</sup>	0.57
HCT (%)	$40.70^{a}$	35.60 <sup>b</sup>	34.67 <sup>b</sup>	34.17 <sup>b</sup>	1.92
Mean corpuscular volume (fl)	66.20 <sup>b</sup>	67.73 <sup>a</sup>	68.73 <sup>a</sup>	69.27 <sup>a</sup>	0.90
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (pg)	23.40 <sup>a</sup>	23.37 <sup>a</sup>	23.30 <sup>a</sup>	23.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.16
Mean corpuscular heamoglobin concentration(g/dl)	35.30 <sup>c</sup>	40.37 <sup>b</sup>	43.60 <sup>ab</sup>	45.57 <sup>a</sup>	2.05
RDW (%)	$18.20^{a}$	$17.60^{a}$	17.47 <sup>a</sup>	17.77 <sup>a</sup>	2.91
Platelet count( $x10^3\mu l$ )	358.0 <sup>b</sup>	269.0 <sup>b</sup>	231.7 <sup>a</sup>	238.3 <sup>a</sup>	60.8
PCT (%)	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.11 <sup>b</sup>	$0.08^{b}$	0.02
MPV (fl)	5.20 <sup>a</sup>	4.50 <sup>a</sup>	4.44 <sup>a</sup>	$3.70^{ab}$	0.41
PDW (fl)	$6.60^{a}$	5.27 <sup>ab</sup>	5.03 <sup>ab</sup>	3.17 <sup>b</sup>	0.71

 $^{abc}$  = Means in a row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

Table-5: Effect of Lindane on Exposure Periods										
Parameters	I	Exposure Time	e	$\pm$ SEM						
	1 day	3 days	7 days							
White blood cell( $x10^3 \mu l$ )	3.90 <sup>a</sup>	3.74 <sup>a</sup>	3.84 <sup>a</sup>	0.44						
Lymphocytes (x10 <sup>3</sup> µl)	2.96 <sup>a</sup>	2.97 <sup>a</sup>	$2.86^{a}$	0.28						
Monocytes $(x10^3 \mu l)$	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.05						
$Gr(x10^3\mu l)$	0.43 <sup>a</sup>	0.43 <sup>a</sup>	0.42 <sup>a</sup>	0.06						
Lymphocytes (%)	77.99 <sup>a</sup>	78.54 <sup>a</sup>	77.44 <sup>a</sup>	2.19						
Monocytes (%)	9.12 <sup>a</sup>	9.20 <sup>a</sup>	9.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.72						
Gr (%)	13.56 <sup>a</sup>	13.48 <sup>a</sup>	13.68 <sup>a</sup>	1.16						
Red blood cell ( $x10^{-6} \mu l$ )	5.63 <sup>a</sup>	5.61 <sup>a</sup>	5.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.16						
Heamoglobin (g/dL)	13.57 <sup>a</sup>	13.41 <sup>a</sup>	13.64 <sup>a</sup>	0.49						
HCT (%)	36.28 <sup>a</sup>	36.34 <sup>a</sup>	36.23 <sup>a</sup>	1.67						
Mean corpuscular volume (fl)	69.18 <sup>a</sup>	69.21 <sup>a</sup>	69.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.78						
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (pg)	24.31 <sup>a</sup>	24.28 <sup>a</sup>	24.34 <sup>a</sup>	1.0						
Mean corpuscular heamoglobin concentration(g/dl)	41.21 <sup>a</sup>	41.22 <sup>a</sup>	41.19 <sup>a</sup>	1.78						
RDW (%)	$20.76^{a}$	20.84 <sup>a</sup>	$20.68^{a}$	2.52						
Platelet count( $x10^3\mu$ l)	406.0 <sup>a</sup>	410.7 <sup>a</sup>	401.3 <sup>a</sup>	52.7						
PCT (%)	$0.16^{a}$	0.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.02						
MPV (fl)	4.46 <sup>a</sup>	$4.50^{a}$	4.43 <sup>a</sup>	0.35						
PDW (fl)	5.02 <sup>a</sup>	4.97 <sup>a</sup>	5.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.62						

a = Means in a row with the similar superscripts are not significantly different (P>0.05)

Table-6: Effect of Lindane on Interaction (injected dose x exposure periods)

Concentration	Exposure Time		Parameters									
		WBC	LYM	MON	GR	LYM	MON	GR	RBC	HEA		
						(%)	(%)					
М	0 hour	6.10 <sup>a</sup>	5.30 <sup>a</sup>	$0.40^{abc}$	$0.60^{a}$	83.40 <sup>a</sup>	7.10 <sup>c</sup>	9.50 <sup>b</sup>	6.14 <sup>a</sup>	$14.40^{a}$		
M1	1 day	4.17 <sup>ab</sup>	2.73 <sup>b</sup>	$0.47^{ab}$	0.53 <sup>a</sup>	82.73 <sup>a</sup>	11.97 <sup>ab</sup>	18.13 <sup>a</sup>	5.79 <sup>ab</sup>	13.47 <sup>a</sup>		
	3 days	4.03 <sup>ab</sup>	2.77 <sup>b</sup>	$0.47^{ab}$	$0.57^{a}$	83.27 <sup>a</sup>	12.13 <sup>a</sup>	17.73 <sup>a</sup>	5.64 <sup>ab</sup>	13.17 <sup>a</sup>		
	7 days	$4.00^{ab}$	2.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.53 <sup>a</sup>	$0.50^{a}$	82.20 <sup>a</sup>	11.80 <sup>abc</sup>	18.53 <sup>a</sup>	6.04 <sup>ab</sup>	13.43 <sup>a</sup>		
M2	1 day	2.80 <sup>b</sup>	2.13 <sup>b</sup>	$0.30^{abc}$	0.27 <sup>a</sup>	75.43 <sup>a</sup>	10.03 <sup>abc</sup>	14.43 <sup>ab</sup>	5.57 <sup>ab</sup>	13.00 <sup>a</sup>		
	3 days	2.37 <sup>b</sup>	2.10 <sup>b</sup>	$0.33^{abc}$	0.23 <sup>a</sup>	76.20 <sup>a</sup>	$10.10^{abc}$	14.57 <sup>ab</sup>	5.65 <sup>ab</sup>	12.87 <sup>a</sup>		
	7 days	2.37 <sup>b</sup>	2.00 <sup>b</sup>	$0.27^{abc}$	$0.30^{a}$	74.67 <sup>a</sup>	9.97 <sup>abc</sup>	14.43 <sup>ab</sup>	$5.50^{ab}$	13.13 <sup>a</sup>		
M3	1 day	2.53 <sup>b</sup>	1.67 <sup>b</sup>	$0.17^{bc}$	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	70.40 <sup>a</sup>	7.37 <sup>abc</sup>	12.17 <sup>ab</sup>	5.00 <sup>b</sup>	13.40 <sup>a</sup>		
	3 days	2.47 <sup>b</sup>	1.70 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 <sup>c</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	71.30 <sup>a</sup>	7.60 <sup>abc</sup>	12.13 <sup>ab</sup>	5.02 <sup>b</sup>	13.20 <sup>a</sup>		
	7 days	2.90 <sup>b</sup>	1.77 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 <sup>c</sup>	0.27 <sup>a</sup>	69.50 <sup>a</sup>	7.13 <sup>c</sup>	12.23 <sup>ab</sup>	4.98 <sup>b</sup>	13.60 <sup>a</sup>		
± SEM		0.88	0.56	0.09	0.11	4.37	1.43	2.31	0.32	0.98		

 $^{abc}$  = Means in a column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

Table-6: Continued											
Concentration	Exposure	Parameters									
	Time	НСТ	MCV	MCH	МСНС	RDW	РС	РСТ	MPV	PDW	
М	0 hour	40.70 a	66.20 <sup>b</sup>	23.40 <sup>a</sup>	35.30 <sup>a</sup>	18.20 <sup>a</sup>	385.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	5.20 <sup>a</sup>	6.60 <sup>a</sup>	
M1	1 day	35.60 <sup>a</sup>	67.63 <sup>a</sup>	23.17 <sup>a</sup>	40.37 <sup>a</sup>	17.60 <sup>a</sup>	438.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	$4.50^{a}$	5.03 <sup>a</sup>	
	3 days	35.80 <sup>a</sup>	67.67 <sup>a</sup>	23.20 <sup>a</sup>	39.83 <sup>a</sup>	17.73 <sup>a</sup>	449.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.18 <sup>a</sup>	4.57 <sup>a</sup>	5.00 <sup>a</sup>	
	7 days	35.40 <sup>a</sup>	67.83 <sup>a</sup>	23.13 <sup>a</sup>	$40.90^{a}$	17.47 <sup>a</sup>	427.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	4.43 <sup>a</sup>	5.07 <sup>a</sup>	
M2	1 day	34.17 <sup>a</sup>	68.27 <sup>a</sup>	23.37 <sup>a</sup>	43.60 <sup>a</sup>	29.77 <sup>a</sup>	369.0 <sup>a</sup>	$0.08^{a}$	3.70 <sup>a</sup>	3.17 <sup>a</sup>	
	3 days	34.17 <sup>a</sup>	68.23 <sup>a</sup>	23.27 <sup>a</sup>	43.80 <sup>a</sup>	29.77 <sup>a</sup>	377.0 <sup>a</sup>	$0.07^{a}$	3.83 <sup>a</sup>	3.10 <sup>a</sup>	
	7 days	34.17 <sup>a</sup>	68.30 <sup>a</sup>	23.47 <sup>a</sup>	43.40 <sup>a</sup>	29.77 <sup>a</sup>	361.0 <sup>a</sup>	$0.08^{a}$	3.57 <sup>a</sup>	3.23 <sup>a</sup>	
M3	1 day	34.67 <sup>a</sup>	69.70 <sup>a</sup>	27.30 <sup>a</sup>	45.57 <sup>a</sup>	17.47 <sup>a</sup>	431.7 <sup>a</sup>	$0.17^{a}$	4.43 <sup>a</sup>	5.27 <sup>a</sup>	
	3 days	34.70 <sup>a</sup>	69.73 <sup>a</sup>	27.23 <sup>a</sup>	45.97 <sup>a</sup>	17.67 <sup>a</sup>	431.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.18 <sup>a</sup>	$4.40^{a}$	5.17 <sup>a</sup>	
	7 days	34.63 <sup>a</sup>	69.87 <sup>a</sup>	27.37 <sup>a</sup>	45.17 <sup>a</sup>	17.27 <sup>a</sup>	432.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.17 <sup>a</sup>	$4.50^{a}$	5.37 <sup>a</sup>	
± SEM		3.33	1.56	2.01	3.55	5.04	105.4	0.04	0.70	1.23	

 $^{abc}$  = Means in the same column with different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05)

Nuntah, JN et al; S	South Asian Res J	Bio Appl Biosci; V	/ol-2, Iss- 3 (M	ay-June, 2020	)): 54-64
---------------------	-------------------	--------------------	------------------	---------------	-----------

Table-7: Effect of Endosulfan on Rabbit Haematology									
Parameters		Injected Volu	ume (ml)		± SEM				
	Control	0.18	0.36	0.72					
White blood cell ( $x10^3\mu l$ )	6.10 <sup>a</sup>	4.16 <sup>b</sup>	3.82 <sup>bc</sup>	3.50 <sup>bc</sup>	0.11				
Lymphocytes (x10 <sup>3</sup> µl)	6.11 <sup>a</sup>	6.05 <sup>a</sup>	6.01 <sup>a</sup>	5.30 <sup>ab</sup>	0.23				
Monocytes $(x10^3 \mu l)$	$0.40^{ab}$	0.49 <sup>a</sup>	0.52 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 <sup>a</sup>	0.06				
$Gr(x10^3\mu l)$	$0.60^{ab}$	$0.50^{b}$	$0.74^{a}$	0.37 <sup>c</sup>	0.05				
Lymphocytes (%)	98.93 <sup>a</sup>	96.89 <sup>ab</sup>	92.70 <sup>ab</sup>	83.40 <sup>c</sup>	2.00				
Monocytes (%)	7.10 <sup>b</sup>	10.85 <sup>a</sup>	10.92 <sup>a</sup>	11.13 <sup>a</sup>	0.35				
Gr (%)	9.50 <sup>b</sup>	15.84 <sup>a</sup>	16.03 <sup>a</sup>	16.22 <sup>a</sup>	2.60				
Red blood cell ( $x10^{-6} \mu l$ )	6.14 <sup>a</sup>	4.83 <sup>b</sup>	4.78 <sup>b</sup>	4.59 <sup>b</sup>	0.35				
Heamoglobin (g/dL)	14.40 <sup>a</sup>	10.88 <sup>b</sup>	10.83 <sup>b</sup>	10.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.37				
HCT (%)	$40.70^{a}$	33.96 <sup>b</sup>	32.36 <sup>b</sup>	30.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.71				
Mean corpuscular volume (fl)	66.20 <sup>a</sup>	66.54 <sup>a</sup>	67.20 <sup>a</sup>	67.65 <sup>a</sup>	1.36				
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (pg)	23.40 <sup>a</sup>	21.34 <sup>a</sup>	21.18 <sup>a</sup>	20.74 <sup>a</sup>	0.47				
Mean corpuscular heamoglobin concentration (g/dl)	35.30 <sup>a</sup>	35.51 <sup>a</sup>	37.05 <sup>a</sup>	37.56 <sup>a</sup>	0.56				
RDW (%)	18.20 <sup>a</sup>	17.14 <sup>ab</sup>	16.86 <sup>ab</sup>	16.44 <sup>ab</sup>	0.18				
Platelet count $(x10^3\mu)$	358.0 <sup>a</sup>	147.5 <sup>b</sup>	114.7 <sup>bc</sup>	94.3 <sup>c</sup>	22.6				
PCT (%)	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.01				
MPV (fl)	5.20 <sup>a</sup>	5.12 <sup>b</sup>	4.90 <sup>bc</sup>	4.35 <sup>c</sup>	0.18				
PDW (fl)	6.60 <sup>a</sup>	5.91 <sup>b</sup>	5.48 <sup>c</sup>	5.32 <sup>c</sup>	0.18				

 $^{abc}$  = Means in a row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

### Table-8: Effect of Endosulfan on Exposure Periods

Parameters	Exposu	re Time	±SEM
	1 day	3 days	
White blood cell $(x10^3 \mu l)$	4.47 <sup>a</sup>	4.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.15
Lymphocytes (x10 <sup>3</sup> µl)	5.83 <sup>a</sup>	5.83 <sup>a</sup>	0.20
Monocytes $(x10^3 \mu l)$	$0.50^{a}$	$0.50^{a}$	0.05
$Gr(x10^3\mu l)$	$0.50^{a}$	$0.48^{a}$	0.24
Lymphocytes (%)	58.96 <sup>a</sup>	58.37 <sup>a</sup>	7.93
Monocytes (%)	8.52 <sup>a</sup>	9.57 <sup>a</sup>	1.31
Gr (%)	31.73 <sup>a</sup>	31.49 <sup>a</sup>	6.35
Red blood cell (x10 <sup>-6</sup> $\mu$ l)	5.22 <sup>a</sup>	5.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.30
Heamoglobin (g/dL)	11.75 <sup>a</sup>	11.74 <sup>a</sup>	0.32
HCT (%)	34.72 <sup>a</sup>	34.43 <sup>a</sup>	0.61
Mean corpuscular volume (fl)	67.43 <sup>a</sup>	66.68 <sup>a</sup>	1.18
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (pg)	22.15 <sup>a</sup>	21.57 <sup>a</sup>	0.41
Mean corpuscular heamoglobin concentration(g/dl)	33.24 <sup>a</sup>	33.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.49
RDW (%)	17.34 <sup>a</sup>	$17.17^{a}$	0.15
Platelet count $(x10^{3}\mu)$	188.5 <sup>a</sup>	$188.51^{a}$	0.15
PCT (%)	$0.10^{a}$	$0.09^{a}$	0.01
MPV (fl)	6.22 <sup>a</sup>	6.07 <sup>a</sup>	$6.00^{a}$
PDW (fl)	6.13 <sup>a</sup>	6.08 <sup>a</sup>	$6.00^{a}$

a = Means in a row with the similar superscripts are not significantly different (P>0.05)

|--|

Concentration	Exposure Time		Parameters							
		WBC	LYM	MON	GR	LYM (%)	MON (%)	GR	RBC	HEA
М	Ohours	6.10	5.30	0.40	0.60	83.40	7.10	9.50	6.14	14.40
M1	1 day	4.50	2.73	0.47	0.53	82.73	11.97	18.13	5.79	13.47
	3 days	4.16	2.77	0.47	0.57	83.27	12.13	17.73	5.64	13.17
M2	1 day	2.80	2.13	0.30	0.27	75.43	10.03	14.43	5.57	13.00
	3 days	2.37	2.10	0.33	0.23	76.20	10.10	14.57	5.65	12.87
M3	1 day	2.53	1.67	0.17	0.33	70.40	7.37	12.17	5.00	13.40
	3 days	2.47	1.70	0.13	0.33	71.30	7.60	12.13	5.02	13.20
± SEM		0.88	0.56	0.09	0.11	4.37	1.43	2.31	0.32	0.98
a	bed - Magne in a go	hump wit	h diffora	nt aunora	oringt a	ra cignificant	ly different (I	2 < 0.05		

d = Means in a column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

#### **Biochemical assay**

Tables 10-18 show OCPs toxicity effects on serum biochemical assay of exposed rabbit samples based on injected doses, exposure periods and interactions (dose and exposure periods). Compared to the non-OCPs treated rabbits (control samples), the OCPs treated rabbit samples increased significantly (P>0.05) in glucose (85.25 - 90.03), creatinine (1.03 - 1.51), total bilirubin (5.28 - 5.66) and urea (39.61 - 41.57) counts while, albumin (4.99 - 6.03) and total protein (13.00 - 16.49) counts decreased significantly (P<0.05). The exposure periods (1, 3 and 7 days) showed increases in serum glucose (89.26 - 90.46), creatine (1.11 - 1.25), total bilirubin (5.01 - 5.02), urea (39.25 - 41.42) counts and decreases in albumin (6.05 - 6.14) and total protein (16.68 - 16.80) counts but these were not significantly different (P>0.05). The interaction (dose x exposure periods) showed significant differences (P<0.05) in biochemical parameters with increase in concentration and exposure time.

Table-10. Effect of DD1 on Kabbit Seruin Diochenneai									
Parameters	Inj	Injected Volume (ml)							
	Control	± SEM							
Glucose	83.55 <sup>b</sup>	85.25 <sup>a</sup>	88.31 <sup>a</sup>	89.92 <sup>a</sup>	15.04				
Creatine	$0.65^{\circ}$	1.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.36 <sup>a</sup>	$1.50^{a}$	0.09				
T-bil	4.12 <sup>b</sup>	5.28 <sup>a</sup>	5.36 <sup>a</sup>	5.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.09				
Urea	36.75 <sup>°</sup>	39.61 <sup>b</sup>	40.46 <sup>a</sup>	40.75 <sup>a</sup>	0.25				
Albumin	7.12 <sup>a</sup>	6.09 <sup>b</sup>	5.64 <sup>c</sup>	5.51 <sup>c</sup>	0.14				
Total Protein	$18.45^{a}$	16.49 <sup>b</sup>	16.03 <sup>c</sup>	16.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.18				

Table-10:	Effect of DDT	on Rabbit	Serum B	liochemical
1 abic-10.	Entre of DD1	un naunt	but um D	nouncinicai

 $^{abc}$  = Means in a row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

Table-11: Effect (	of DDT on Serum	<b>Biochemical with</b>	respect to Ex	posure Periods
--------------------	-----------------	-------------------------	---------------	----------------

Parameters	Ex	Exposure Time					
	1 day	3 days	7 days				
Glucose	89.26 <sup>a</sup>	89.28 <sup>a</sup>	90.46 <sup>a</sup>	13.02			
Creatine	1.11 <sup>a</sup>	1.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.15 <sup>a</sup>	0.08			
T-bilirubin	5.01 <sup>a</sup>	5.02 <sup>a</sup>	5.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.09			
Urea	39.25 <sup>a</sup>	39.39 <sup>a</sup>	39.74 <sup>a</sup>	0.22			
Albumin	6.14 <sup>a</sup>	6.08 <sup>a</sup>	6.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.12			
Total Protein	$16.80^{a}$	16.76 <sup>a</sup>	16.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.15			

a = Means in a row with the similar superscripts are not significantly different (P>0.

Table-12: DDT Effects on Serum Biochemical with Respect to Interactions (injected dose x exposure period)						
Concentration	Exposure Time	Parameters				

Concentration	Exposure Time	Farameters						
		Glucose	Creatine	Total bilirubin	Urea	Albumin	<b>Total Protein</b>	
М	0 hour	83.39 <sup>c</sup>	$0.68^{d}$	4.13 <sup>c</sup>	37.64 <sup>c</sup>	7.13 <sup>a</sup>	18.73 <sup>a</sup>	
M1	1 day	85.20 <sup>bc</sup>	0.69 <sup>d</sup>	4.28 <sup>b</sup>	39.67 <sup>c</sup>	5.24 <sup>b</sup>	15.48 <sup>b</sup>	
	3 days	88.48 <sup>b</sup>	1.19 <sup>c</sup>	4.33 <sup>b</sup>	39.92 <sup>c</sup>	5.14 <sup>bc</sup>	15.47 <sup>b</sup>	
	7 days	91.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.43 <sup>b</sup>	5.26 <sup>a</sup>	40.81 <sup>bc</sup>	4.98 <sup>c</sup>	15.45 <sup>b</sup>	
M2	1 day	85.26 <sup>bc</sup>	1.09 <sup>bc</sup>	5.14 <sup>ab</sup>	41.50 <sup>b</sup>	5.09 <sup>c</sup>	13.76 <sup>c</sup>	
	3 days	94.96 <sup>a</sup>	1.40 <sup>b</sup>	5.36 <sup>a</sup>	42.69 <sup>b</sup>	4.99 <sup>c</sup>	13.68 <sup>c</sup>	
	7 days	95.53 <sup>a</sup>	1.57 <sup>a</sup>	5.38 <sup>a</sup>	48.19 <sup>a</sup>	4.19 <sup>b</sup>	13.33 <sup>c</sup>	
M3	1 day	86.20 <sup>b</sup>	$1.28^{bc}$	5.51 <sup>a</sup>	43.25 <sup>ab</sup>	5.14 <sup>bc</sup>	13.14 <sup>c</sup>	
	3 days	97.74 <sup>a</sup>	1.47 <sup>ab</sup>	5.55 <sup>ª</sup>	43.87 <sup>ab</sup>	5.09 <sup>c</sup>	13.02 <sup>c</sup>	
	7 days	98.09 <sup>a</sup>	1.59 <sup>a</sup>	5.93 <sup>a</sup>	48.25 <sup>a</sup>	4.94 <sup>c</sup>	12.88 <sup>d</sup>	
± SEM		6.04	0.15	0.17	0.44	0.24	0.31	

abcd = Means in a column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

Table-13: Effect of Lindane on Rabbit Serum Biochemical									
Parameters	Inj	Injected Volume (ml)							
	Control	0.38	0.75	1.5					
Glucose (mmol/L)	83.55 <sup>c</sup>	85.56 <sup>b</sup>	87.54 <sup>b</sup>	89.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.32				
Creatine (mmol/L)	0.65 <sup>c</sup>	1.40 <sup>b</sup>	1.41 <sup>b</sup>	1.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.08				
Total bilirubin (µmol/L)	4.12 <sup>c</sup>	4.68 <sup>b</sup>	5.59 <sup>a</sup>	5.66 <sup>a</sup>	0.08				
Urea (mmol/L)	36.75 <sup>c</sup>	40.13 <sup>b</sup>	40.40 <sup>a</sup>	40.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.56				
Albumin (g/dL)	7.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.12 <sup>b</sup>	5.06 <sup>b</sup>	4.99 <sup>c</sup>	0.27				
Total Protein (g/dL)	18.45 <sup>a</sup>	15.47 <sup>b</sup>	13.59 <sup>c</sup>	13.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.32				
				4 41.00					

 $^{abc}$  = Means in a row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

Parameters	Ex	SEM		
	1 day	3 days	7 days	
Glucose	70.46 <sup>a</sup>	72.47 <sup>a</sup>	77.60 <sup>a</sup>	6.71
Creatine	1.09 <sup>a</sup>	1.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.07
Total bilirubin	4.76 <sup>a</sup>	4.84 <sup>a</sup>	4.97 <sup>a</sup>	0.10
Urea	40.06 <sup>a</sup>	40.81 <sup>a</sup>	41.42 <sup>a</sup>	0.47
Albumin	5.61 <sup>a</sup>	5.57 <sup>a</sup>	5.53 <sup>a</sup>	0.23
Total Protein	15.28 <sup>a</sup>	15.10 <sup>a</sup>	15.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.27

Table-14: Effect of Lindane on	Serum	<b>Biochemical</b>	with res	spect to Ex	posure Periods
Lubic 14. Effect of Embaune on	our um	Diocincinicai	WICH IC.	spece to LA	posure rerious

<sup>a</sup> = Means in a row with similar superscripts are not significantly different (P>0.05)

#### Table-15: Effect of Lindane on Serum Biochemical Interactions

Concentration	Exposure		Parameters						
		Glucose	Creatine	Total bilirubin	Urea	Albumin	<b>Total Protein</b>		
М	0 hours	83.39 <sup>c</sup>	$0.68^{d}$	4.13 <sup>c</sup>	37.64 <sup>°</sup>	7.13 <sup>a</sup>	18.73 <sup>a</sup>		
M1	1 day	84.98 <sup>c</sup>	$0.69^{d}$	4.28 <sup>c</sup>	39.67 <sup>°</sup>	5.24 <sup>b</sup>	15.48 <sup>b</sup>		
	3 days	87.65 <sup>bc</sup>	$1.00^{\circ}$	4.33 <sup>c</sup>	39.92 <sup>c</sup>	5.14 <sup>bc</sup>	15.47 <sup>b</sup>		
	7 days	88.56 <sup>bc</sup>	1.33 <sup>bc</sup>	4.39 <sup>c</sup>	40.81 <sup>c</sup>	4.98 <sup>cd</sup>	15.45 <sup>b</sup>		
M2	1 day	90.48 <sup>b</sup>	1.17 <sup>bc</sup>	5.14 <sup>bc</sup>	41.50 <sup>b</sup>	5.09 <sup>c</sup>	13.76 <sup>c</sup>		
	3 days	92.22 <sup>ab</sup>	$1.60^{a}$	5.36 <sup>bc</sup>	42.69 <sup>b</sup>	4.99 <sup>cd</sup>	13.68 <sup>c</sup>		
	7 days	94.89 <sup>a</sup>	1.59 <sup>a</sup>	5.38 <sup>bc</sup>	48.99 <sup>a</sup>	4.91 <sup>cd</sup>	13.33 <sup>c</sup>		
M3	1 day	91.55 <sup>ab</sup>	1.07 <sup>c</sup>	5.51 <sup>b</sup>	43.25 <sup>b</sup>	5.14 <sup>bc</sup>	13.14 <sup>c</sup>		
	3 days	94.50 <sup>a</sup>	1.24 <sup>bc</sup>	5.55 <sup>b</sup>	43.87 <sup>b</sup>	5.09 <sup>c</sup>	13.00 <sup>c</sup>		
	7 days	94.64 <sup>a</sup>	$1.40^{b}$	5.93 <sup>a</sup>	46.25 <sup>ab</sup>	4.94 <sup>cd</sup>	12.88 <sup>c</sup>		
SEM		0.55	0.13	0.14	0.95	0.26	0.55		

abcd = Means in a column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

### Table-16: Effect of Endosulfan on Rabbit Serum Biochemical

Parameters	Inj	± SEM			
	Control	0.18	0.36	0.72	
Glucose (mmol/L)	83.55 <sup>a</sup>	89.54 <sup>b</sup>	89.59 <sup>b</sup>	90.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.32
Creatine(mmol/L)	0.65 <sup>c</sup>	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.24 <sup>b</sup>	1.51 <sup>a</sup>	0.08
Total bilirubin (µmol/L)	4.12 <sup>c</sup>	5.44 <sup>b</sup>	5.49 <sup>b</sup>	5.51 <sup>a</sup>	0.08
Urea (mmol/L)	36.75°	41.13 <sup>b</sup>	$41.40^{a}$	41.57 <sup>a</sup>	0.56
Albumin (g/dL)	7.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.21 <sup>b</sup>	5.16 <sup>b</sup>	4.73 <sup>c</sup>	0.27
Total Protein (g/dL)	$18.45^{a}$	16.47 <sup>b</sup>	14.19 <sup>c</sup>	13.59 <sup>c</sup>	0.32
C M		•	• • • •	1 1.00	· (D 0.05

 $^{abc}$  = Means in a row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

## Table-17: Effect of Endosulfan on Serum Biochemical with Respect to Exposure Periods

Parameters	Exposu	±SEM	
	1 day	3 days	
Glucose	87.60 <sup>a</sup>	89.47 <sup>a</sup>	6.71
Creatine	$1.09^{a}$	$1.12^{a}$	0.07
Total bilirubin	4.76 <sup>a</sup>	$4.84^{a}$	0.10
Urea	40.06 <sup>a</sup>	40.81 <sup>a</sup>	0.47
Albumin	5.61 <sup>a</sup>	$5.57^{a}$	0.23
Total Protein	$15.10^{a}$	$15.00^{a}$	0.27

a = Means in a row with the similar superscripts are not significantly different (P>0.05)

### Table-18: Endosulfan Effect on Serum Biochemical Interactions

Concentration	Exposure	Parameters							
		Glucose	Creatine	Total bilirubin	Urea	Albumin	<b>Total Protein</b>		
М	0 hours	83.39 <sup>c</sup>	$0.68^{d}$	4.13 <sup>c</sup>	37.64 <sup>c</sup>	7.13 <sup>a</sup>	18.73 <sup>a</sup>		
M1	1 day	84.98 <sup>c</sup>	$0.69^{d}$	4.28 <sup>b</sup>	39.67 <sup>b</sup>	5.24 <sup>b</sup>	15.48 <sup>b</sup>		
	3 days	87.65 <sup>°</sup>	$1.00^{\circ}$	4.33 <sup>b</sup>	39.92 <sup>b</sup>	5.14 <sup>bc</sup>	15.47 <sup>b</sup>		
M2	1 day	90.48 <sup>b</sup>	1.17 <sup>bc</sup>	5.14 <sup>ab</sup>	41.50 <sup>ab</sup>	5.09 <sup>c</sup>	13.76 <sup>c</sup>		
	3 days	92.22 <sup>ab</sup>	$1.60^{a}$	5.36 <sup>a</sup>	42.69 <sup>ab</sup>	4.99 <sup>c</sup>	13.68 <sup>c</sup>		
M3	1 day	91.55 <sup>ab</sup>	1.07 <sup>c</sup>	5.51 <sup>a</sup>	43.25 <sup>a</sup>	5.14 <sup>bc</sup>	13.14 <sup>c</sup>		
	3 days	94.50 <sup>a</sup>	1.24 <sup>b</sup>	5.55 <sup>a</sup>	43.87 <sup>a</sup>	5.09 <sup>c</sup>	13.00 <sup>c</sup>		
SEM		0.55	0.13	0.14	0.95	0.26	0.55		

 $^{abc}$  = Means in a column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

## DISCUSSION

The varied clinical signs exhibited by the OCPs (DDT, endosulfan and lindane) subcutaneously injected rabbits indicated their physical responses to OCPs toxicity and this agrees with Zorawar *et al.* [15] on OCPs exposure in rats affecting the central nervous system, causing hyper-excitable state in the brain possibly leading to convulsion, tremor, hyper-reflexia and ataxia. The significant deviations in blood parameter counts (haematology and serum biochemical) in the OCPs exposed rabbits compared to the non-OCPs exposed rabbits (control) indicated the immunological responses to OCPs (DDT, endosulfan and lindane) toxicity.

The WBC and differential WBC decrease counts, indicates a probable systemic damage of the rabbit's immune system due to acute stress and infections induced by toxicity and this is in line with Bigoniyaa *et al.* [16] that decrease in white blood cell (leucocytes) indicates the activation of the animal's defense mechanism against toxic invasion. Kartheek and David [17] stated that the variations in leucocytes indices indicate stress conditions arising from OCPs exposures in rabbits due to their inability to produce or release antibiotics that are major defensive agents to combat infections. The reduction in leucocytes production may result in immunosuppressive effects that could lead to situations of anti-endomosis and haemodilution challenges in rabbit's immune system resulting in leucopenia, allergic conditions, anaphylactic shock and certain parasitism [18].

The decrease in the RBC (erythrocytes), haemoglobin and hematocrit counts were possibly due to inhibited red blood cells production, decrease in number of matured RBCs released into blood circulation and shortened life span of circulating erythrocytes [19]. This agrees with Jyostana *et al.* [20] that pesticides poisoning increases erythrocyte destruction as a result of impaired biosynthesis of haeme in the bone marrow resulting in lower levels of erythropoietin, haemoglobins and hematocrit destruction. According to Kartheek and David [17], OCPs exposure in rabbit demolishes RBC concentrations or erythropoietic mechanism and reduces haemoglobins and hematocrit counts resulting in heamolysis and haemorrhagic situations.

OCPs toxicity effects in the red blood cell indices (Mean Corpuscular volume [MCV], Mean Corpuscular heamoglobin [MCH], Mean Corpuscular heamoglobin concentration [MCHC] and Red cell distribution width [RDW]) counts suggest haemopoietic deficiencies that may subsequently lead to anaemic (normocytic, microcytic, macrocytic, hypochronic or normochromic) conditions in exposed rabbits [21].

The deviations in platelet (PCT, MPV and PDW) counts in the exposed rabbits may lead to possible platelet diseases and in line with Kanu *et al.* [22] may result in aplastic anemic conditions (thrombocytopenia) due to the inability of blood tissues to retract or cause clot retraction that aids blood coagulation.

Blood serum counts in the OCPs exposed rabbit's shows toxicant stress and the need for production of extra energy by the rabbits to combat such induced stress. In line with Ambali *et al.* [23], the increase in serum glucose counts may lead to possible glucose homeostasis conditions in exposed rabbits, inducing hyperglycemia through reduced insulin secretion, hepatic glucogenesis and glycogenolysis stimulation due to damage of the hepatic glycogenesis pathway resulting in disease conditions such as diabetes mellitus [24]. The increase in total bilirubin counts suggest conditions of hepatotoxicity and may indicate possible liver damage, in line with Uchendu *et al.* [25]. The increase in urea and creatine counts in the exposed rabbits indicates possible kidney impairment and nephrotoxicity, since serum urea and creatine counts are reliable indicators of kidney functionality and glomerular filtration damages [26]. The decrease in the serum total protein and albumin counts indicates a possible diagnostic symptoms of liver diseases [27] and in line with Khalifa *et al.* [28] the increase in proteolytic activity occasioned by OCPs toxicity causes change in protein and free amino acid metabolism synthesized in the liver which results in degradation and renal failures due to increased utilization of albumin in the production of antibodies and excretion of albumin (Albumiurea).

## CONCLUSION

OCPs toxicity constitute real threat to public health considering the severe detrimental effects on the blood parameters (haematology and serum biochemical assay) of exposed rabbits, thereby compromising their immune system to varied health complications. There is the need for serious health concerns especially to avert the consumption of OCPs laden smoke-dried fishes, hence the relevant governmental agencies (inspectorate/quality assurance in the Department of the Federal and State Fisheries, Ministries of Health, Agriculture, NAFDAC and SON) should ensure strict enforcement of enacted laws that ban the use of organochlorine pesticides in food and should engage in the periodic check of smoke-dried fish quality sold in markets and retail outlets in Nigeria to guide against OCPs usages.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Special thanks to Tertiary Education Trust Fund for the grant which supported this work. (TETFUND/NRF2014 'Use of pesticides in food preservation in Nigeria and its implications').

# REFERENCES

- 1. Kumolu-Johnson, C.A., & Ndi, P.E. (2011). A Review on Post-Harvest Losses in Artisanal Fisheries of Some African Countries. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 6(4), 365-378.
- 2. Igene, J.O., Abolagba, O.J., & Ikeme, A.I. (1998). Use of pesticides on cured fish in Nigeria. Report and Proceedings of the Sixth FAO Expert Consultation on Fish Technology in Africa Kisumu, Kenya, 126 130.
- 3. Musa, U., Hati, S.S., Adamu, Y.I., & Mustapha, A. (2010). Pesticides Residues in Smoked Fish Samples from North-Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Sciences, 10*(11), 975-980.
- 4. Abolagba, O.J., & Nuntah, J.N. (2012). Effects of Three Pesticides Used In Fish Preservation on the Heamatological Indices of Rabbits Fed Varying Levels. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment,* 8(4), 46-50.
- 5. Akoto, O., Azuure, A.A., & Adotey, K. D. (2016). Pesticide residues in water, sediment and fish from Tono Reservoir and their health risk implications. *SpringerPlus*, 5: 1849-1860.
- 6. Carvalho, F.P. (2017). Pesticides, Environment and Food Safety. Food and Energy Security, 6(2), 48-60.
- 7. Maurya, P.K., & Malik, D.S. (2016). Accumulation and distribution of organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticide residues in water, sediments and fishes, *Heteropneustis fossilis* and *Puntius ticto* from Kali River, India. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences*, 8(5), 30 40.
- 8. Salameh, R.P., Daldi, I., Brochard, P. & Saleh, B.A. (2004). Pesticides in Lebanon: a knowledge, attitude and practice study. *Environment Research*, 94, 1 6.
- Thompson, L.A., Darwish, W.S., Ikenaka, Y., Nakayama, S.M.M., Mizukawa, H. & Ishizuka, M. (2017). Organochlorine pesticide contamination of foods in Africa: incidence and Public Health Significance. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Sciences*, 79(4), 751–764.
- 10. Ize-Iyamu, O.K., Asia, I.O., & Egwakhide, P.A. (2007). Concentrations of residues from organochlorine pesticide in water and fish from some rivers in Edo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Adolescent Medicine and Health*, 2(9), 237 241.
- 11. Adeyemi, D., Ukpo, G., Anyakora, C. and Unyimandu, J. (2008). Organochlorine pesticides residues in fish samples from Lagos Lagoon, Nigeria. *American Journal of Environmental Science*, 4, 649-653.
- 12. Abolagba, O.J., Igene, J.O. & Usifoh, C.O. (2011). Studies of Pesticide Residues in Smoked Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) in Nigeria: Some Health Implications. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 5(5), 496-502.
- 13. Venkatesan, R., Nagarajan, P. Rajaretnam, R.S., & Majumdar, S.S. (2006). Haematologic and Serum biochemical values in aged female bonnet macaques (*Macaca radiata*) anesthetized with Ketamine hydrochlorine. *Journal of the American Association for Laboratory Animal Science*, 45(2), 45-48.
- 14. Genstat. (2009). GenstatPC/Windows Vista Package Version 12.1. Laws Agricultural Trust, Rothamsted Experimental Station.
- 15. Zorawar, S., Jasminder, K., Ravneet, K., & Swarndeep, S.H. (2016). Toxic Effects of Organochlorine Pesticides: A Review. *American Journal of Bioscience*, 4(3-1), 11-18.
- 16. Bigoniyaa, P., Sahu, T., & Tiwari, V. (2015). Haematological and biochemical effects of sub-chronic artesunate exposure in rats. *Toxicology Reports*, 2, 280-288.
- 17. Kartheek, R.M., & David, M. (2017). Modulations in Haematological Aspects of Wistar Rats Exposed to Sublethal Doses of Fipronil under Subchronic Duration. *Journal of Pharmaceutical, Chemical and Biological Science*, 5(3), 187-194.
- Togun, V.A., Oseni, B.S.A., Ogundipe, J.A., Arewa, T.R., Hammed, A.A., Ajonijebu, D.C. & Mustapha, F. (2007). Effects of chronic lead administration on the haematological parameters of rabbits. In: Proceedings of 41st Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria, 341.
- 19. Mehra, B.L., Sharma, P., Kaushik, U., & Joshi, S.C. (2014). Effect of Fytolan on Haematology and Serum Parameters of Male Albino Rats. *International Journal* of *Pharma Research* and *Health Sciences*, 2, 332 -338.
- 20. Jyostana, A.P., Arun, J.P., & Sanjay, P.G. (2003). Biochemical effects of various pesticides on sprayers of grape gardens, *Indian Journal* of *Clinical Biochemistry*, 18, 16 22.
- 21. Goel, A., Danni, V., & Dhawan, D.K. (2006). Role of zinc in mitigating the toxic effects of chlorpyrifos on hematological alterations and electron microscopic observations in rat blood. *Biometals*, 19, 483 492.
- 22. Kanu, K.C., Ijioma, S.N. & Atiata, O. (2016). Haematological, Biochemical and Antioxidant Changes in Wistar Rats Exposed to Dichlorvos Based Insecticide Formulation Used in Southeast Nigeria. *Toxics*, 4(28), 1 8.
- Ambali, S.F., Shuaib, K., Edeh, R., Orieji, B.C., Shittu, M. & Akande, M.G. (2011). Hyperglycemia induced by subchronic co-administration of chlorpyrifos and lead in Wistar rats: Role of pancreatic lipoperoxidation and alleviating effect of vitamin C. *Biology and Medicine*, 3, 6 – 14.
- 24. Mossa, A.H., & Abbassy, M.A. (2012). Adverse Haematological and Biochemical Effects of Certain Formulated Insecticides in Male Rats. *Journal of Environmental Toxicology*, 6(4), 160 168.

- Uchendu, C., Ambali, S.F., Ayo, J.O., Esievo, K.A.N., Lasisi, I.O. & Surakat, Y.L. (2011). Protective Effects of Acetyl-L-Carnitine on Subacute Chlorpyrifos induced Biochemical Changes in Wistar Rats. *International Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 5 (5), 300-313.
- Heikal, T.M., Mossa, T.H., Marei, G.I.K., & Rasoul, M.A.A. (2012). Cyromazine and Chlorpyrifos Induced Renal Toxicity in Rats: The Ameliorating Effects of Green Tea Extract. *Journal Environmental Analytical Toxicology*, 2(5), 146-152
- 27. Mossa, A.H., Refaie, A.A., & Ramadan, A. (2011). Effect of exposure to mixture of four organophosphate insecticides at no observed adverse effect level dose on rat liver: The protective role of vitamin C. *Research Journal Environmental Toxicology*, 5, 323-335.
- Khalifa, F.K., Khalil, F.A., Barakat, H.A., & Hassan M.M. (2011). Protective Role of Wheat Germ and Grape Seed Oils in Chlorpyrifos-Induced Oxidative Stress, Biochemical and Histological Alterations in Liver of Rats. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 5(10), 54 - 66.