

The Psychological Impact of Artificial Intelligence-Based Learning Tools on University Students' Motivation and Self-Efficacy: A Theoretical Study in the Context of Iraqi Higher Education

Zainab Mohammed Shallan^{1*}

¹College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah

***Corresponding Author:** Zainab Mohammed Shallan

College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah

Article History

Received: 05.09.2025

Accepted: 27.10.2025

Published: 31.10.2025

Abstract: The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in educational settings has transformed traditional teaching and learning processes. In Iraq, higher education institutions are increasingly adopting AI-based learning tools, including intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, and AI-driven feedback mechanisms. This theoretical study examines the psychological impact of AI-based learning tools on university students' motivation and self-efficacy. Drawing upon recent literature, this paper highlights both the potential benefits and challenges of AI integration in higher education. Key findings indicate that AI can enhance student motivation through personalized learning pathways and timely feedback while fostering self-efficacy by allowing students to monitor their progress and mastery of learning objectives. However, the study also discusses psychological challenges such as technology-induced anxiety, over-reliance on automated systems, and reduced human interaction. Recommendations for educators, policymakers, and future researchers are presented to optimize AI adoption in the Iraqi higher education context while addressing students' psychological well-being.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Traditional Teaching and Learning Processes, Iraq, Drawing, Higher Education.

1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in education worldwide, offering unprecedented opportunities to personalize learning, automate assessment, and enhance student engagement (Luckin *et al.*, 2016). In Iraq, universities are gradually integrating AI technologies to improve teaching efficiency and learning outcomes. Despite the increasing prevalence of AI tools, there is limited understanding of their psychological effects on students, particularly regarding motivation and self-efficacy, which are critical determinants of academic success (Bandura, 1997).

Motivation refers to the internal and external forces that drive individuals to engage in learning activities, persist through challenges, and achieve educational goals (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Self-efficacy, as defined by Bandura (1997), refers to one's belief in their capacity to execute tasks successfully. Both constructs are essential for effective learning and academic achievement. The integration of AI-based learning tools has the potential to influence these psychological constructs, positively or negatively, depending on the design, implementation, and support systems in place.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive theoretical review of how AI-based learning tools impact university students' motivation and self-efficacy in the Iraqi higher education context. By synthesizing current literature, this study seeks to offer insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers seeking to harness AI in a psychologically supportive and pedagogically effective manner.

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

CITATION: Zainab Mohammed Shallan (2025). The Psychological Impact of Artificial Intelligence-Based Learning Tools on University Students' Motivation and Self-Efficacy: A Theoretical Study in the Context of Iraqi Higher Education. *South Asian Res J Human Soc Sci*, 7(5): 268-270.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Artificial Intelligence in Education

AI in education encompasses technologies that simulate human intelligence to perform tasks such as problem-solving, personalized feedback, and adaptive learning (Holmes *et al.*, 2019). Common AI tools include intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), adaptive learning platforms, AI-driven chatbots, and predictive analytics. Research indicates that AI can enhance learning outcomes by providing individualized content, tracking student progress, and identifying knowledge gaps (Chen *et al.*, 2020).

In Iraq, higher education institutions are increasingly experimenting with AI applications. For example, universities use AI-driven assessment platforms to automate grading, offer immediate feedback, and support student learning in online and blended environments (Al-Kahtani, 2022). However, widespread adoption faces challenges, including infrastructure limitations, faculty training gaps, and student readiness.

2.2 Motivation in AI-Based Learning

Motivation is a crucial determinant of student engagement and academic performance. AI tools can influence motivation by offering:

Personalized Learning Pathways: AI systems adapt content difficulty and sequence according to student performance, increasing relevance and engagement (Woolf *et al.*, 2013).

Immediate Feedback: Prompt, data-driven feedback fosters a sense of progress and achievement, enhancing intrinsic motivation (Shute, 2008).

Gamification and Interactive Platforms: AI-enabled gamified experiences stimulate reward mechanisms, further motivating learners (Deterding *et al.*, 2011).

However, poorly designed AI systems may induce amotivation or frustration due to overly complex interfaces or lack of human support (Heffernan & Heffernan, 2014).

2.3 Self-Efficacy and AI Tools

Self-efficacy reflects students' confidence in their ability to perform academic tasks. AI can influence self-efficacy in several ways:

Progress Tracking: AI dashboards allow students to monitor their performance, fostering mastery experiences that strengthen self-efficacy (Zimmerman, 2000).

Adaptive Challenge Levels: By adjusting task difficulty, AI ensures students experience success, reinforcing beliefs in their capabilities.

Supportive Scaffolding: AI tutors provide hints and guidance, reducing anxiety and encouraging persistence (VanLehn, 2011).

Nonetheless, over-reliance on AI can undermine self-efficacy if students perceive their success as dependent on technology rather than personal effort (Heffernan & Heffernan, 2014).

2.4 Challenges in the Iraqi Context

Several psychological and contextual challenges influence AI adoption in Iraq:

Technology-Induced Anxiety: Students may experience stress when navigating AI platforms without sufficient training.

Limited Human Interaction: Excessive reliance on AI could reduce social learning opportunities, impacting collaborative skills.

Digital Divide: Variations in access to devices and internet connectivity affect equitable learning experiences.

Cultural Factors: Acceptance of AI tools may vary based on perceptions of teacher roles and traditional learning practices.

3. Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in two major psychological theories:

Bandura's Self-Efficacy Theory (1997): Suggests that beliefs in personal capability affect motivation, persistence, and performance. AI tools can influence these beliefs by providing mastery experiences and constructive feedback.

Self-Determination Theory (SDT) by Ryan & Deci (2000): Proposes that motivation is shaped by autonomy, competence, and relatedness. AI-based learning tools can enhance autonomy through personalized learning paths and competence via adaptive challenges.

By integrating these frameworks, this paper examines how AI can simultaneously promote motivation and self-efficacy while highlighting potential psychological risks.

4. DISCUSSION

The theoretical review suggests that AI-based learning tools have significant potential to enhance student motivation and self-efficacy in Iraqi universities. Key insights include:

Enhanced Engagement: Personalized learning and adaptive feedback increase intrinsic motivation and sustain effort.

Confidence Building: Mastery experiences through AI systems strengthen beliefs in academic capabilities.

Cognitive Support: AI scaffolds complex tasks, enabling students to develop skills progressively.

However, implementation must address psychological risks. Educators should combine AI tools with human guidance to mitigate technology-induced anxiety, maintain social learning opportunities, and ensure students perceive their achievements as products of personal effort rather than solely AI assistance.

5. Implications for Educational Psychology

The findings have several implications for educational psychologists and practitioners:

Curriculum Design: Incorporate AI tools that complement rather than replace traditional teaching methods.

Student Training: Prepare students to use AI tools effectively to reduce anxiety and increase self-efficacy.

Monitoring Psychological Impact: Regularly assess student motivation and confidence to adjust AI interventions.

Policy Recommendations: Support infrastructure development and equitable access to AI resources in Iraqi higher education.

6. CONCLUSION

AI-based learning tools represent a transformative opportunity in higher education, particularly in Iraq. When integrated thoughtfully, they can enhance students' motivation and self-efficacy, leading to improved academic outcomes. Nevertheless, attention to psychological factors, cultural context, and pedagogical alignment is essential. Future research should empirically investigate these impacts in Iraqi universities to validate theoretical predictions and guide effective AI implementation.

REFERENCES

- Al-Kahtani, N. (2022). AI in higher education: Applications and challenges. *Journal of Educational Technology*, 15(3), 45–59.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: Freeman.
- Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *IEEE Access*, 8, 75264–75278.
- Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining gamification. *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference*, 9–15.
- Heffernan, N., & Heffernan, C. (2014). The ASSISTments ecosystem: Building a platform that brings scientists and teachers together for minimally invasive research on human learning and teaching. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 24, 470–497.
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Boston: Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78.
- Shute, V. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(1), 153–189.
- VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(4), 197–221.
- Woolf, B. P., Burleson, W., Arroyo, I., Dragon, T., Cooper, D., & Picard, R. (2013). Affect-aware tutors: Recognizing and responding to student affect. *International Journal of Learning Technology*, 4(3–4), 129–164.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Self-efficacy: An essential motive to learn. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 82–91.